



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/824,654	04/03/2001	Jean-Yves Cras	16499P000110	1383		
20350	7590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			VU, KI	VU, KIEU D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834	2173				

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary				CRAS ET AL.	ay				
		09/824,654							
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication a	Kieu D Vu	sheet with the c	2173	ress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 April 2001 .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
•	ion of Claims			•					
,—	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment						
•	ion Papers	or election requires	mont.	ŕ					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 September 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen		•							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	-	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/824,654

Art Unit: 2173

Specification

Drawings

1. The informal drawings filed in this application are acceptable for examination purposes. When the application is allowed, applicant will be required to submit new formal drawings.

Specification

- 2. The specification is objected since:
 - (i) it does not contain the serial numbers of the relating applications listed in page
 - 1.
 - (ii) line 17 in page 4 is incomplete.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter since claim 6 claims "A computer program product" per se and does not positively recite that the program is stored on a medium that can be read by a machine. As such, the claimed invention is not directed to a machine readable medium or a manufacturer article.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,654 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the method" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bensoussan et al ("Bensoussan", USP 6581068).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Bensoussan teaches the steps of displaying a reporting object that displays values selected by one or more axes of the multidimensional data model (col 6, lines 43-51); displaying a hierarchical view of at least a part of a hypercube in the multidimensional data model showing dimensions and dimension members of the hypercube (Fig. 20); using the user interface to associate a first dimension object with the reporting object (Fig. 25); and displaying a set of reporting objects, each corresponding to a member of the dimension, where the reporting object displays values of measures of the corresponding dimension member

Application/Control Number: 09/824,654

Art Unit: 2173

including multiple blocks synchronized along a common axis, nested sections, and breaks (col 7, lines 31-35).

Regarding claim 2, Bensoussan teaches a user interface for OLAP analysis on a selected cell (Fig. 25).

Regarding claim 3, Bensoussan teaches the drilling up or drilling down (col 14, lines 24-34).

Regarding claim 4, Bensoussan teaches the associating a specific member of the first dimension object with the first dimension object to select only the specific member when displaying the reporting object (Fig. 17).

Regarding claim 5, Bensoussan teaches a selector to sorting dimension values (col 18, lines 1-2).

- 9. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach about analyzing report of multidimensional data model which relates to the claimed invention.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu whose telephone number is (703-605-1232). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703-308-3116).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

Page 5

(703)-872-9306

and / or:

(703)-746-5639

(use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for

"INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kieu D. Vu

10/17/03

J-JOHN CABECA

SUPPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TEFFECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100